

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,497	07/16/2001	Masanori Hattori	211371US2RD	2254
22850 7590 06/17/2005 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			CUFF, MICHAEL A	
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3627	
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2004	=

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	•	V	ク			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
085 4-45 0	09/904,497	HATTORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Cuff	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum states of the common stat	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. io) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 29 March 2005.	•				
<u> </u>	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.		Ì			
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § documents have been received. documents have been received in A					
	of the priority documents have been		l			
	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage	Ì			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 10-13, 15-17, 19-27, 29-32, 34, 35 and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cupps et al.

Cupps et al. shows a purchase promotion system and method comprising: a user information database (128) configured to store electronic goods information', a user judgment unit configured to judge a user state regarding whether a user is fit to purchase goods based on a current location of the user and based on facilities existing in the users area (see col. 9, lines 17-23); and a user notification unit configured to send a message urging the user to purchase desired goods (see Fig. 8 - the "Click here to see Special Savings" button urges users to purchase desired goods).

Cupps et al also teach that the judgment unit judges the user state based on the current time, a working time zone of the user, and schedule activities of the user (see col. 9, lines 48-62). Cupps et al also teach that the notification unit recognizes an information communication terminal used by the user and sends the message in a format suitable to the information communication terminal (see, for example, col. 1 1, lines 20-34). The system can recognize the terminal used by the user. (by the login procedure, the user told the system his/her information which the system recognized.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cupps et al. Cupps et al. teaches all of the limitations of the claims except for a teaching of a portable information communication terminal. However, portable terminals are common in the ad. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a portable terminal with the invention of Cupps et al to allow users to connect from any location.

Claims 14, 18, 33 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cupps et al., as applied to claims 1 and 20 above, in further view of Jacobi et al. Cupps et al teach all of the limitations of the claims except for a teaching of a purchase log and the step of recommending new items based on the purchase log. Jacobi et al teach an online recommendation system that recommends products to users based on their purchase history (see, for example, col. 1, lines 14-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Jacobi et al with the invention of Cupps et al to recommend items that a particular user would be likely to buy to increase sales.

Art Unit: 3627

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/29/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that Cupps et al. does not show the new limitation. The examiner does not concur. From applicant's arguments, the examiner might have an idea about the miscommunication, which is going on in this application. Applicant asserts that Cupps does not disclose recognizing what type of transmission medium or communication terminal is available to the customer when sending the message. This is not claimed. The broad recitation of the claims is met by the prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,497

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 5

Michael Cuff
June 13, 2005